1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10	JESSE L. YOUNGBLOOD,
11	Plaintiff, No. CIV S-05-0727 LKK DAD P
12	vs.
13	STATE OF CALIFORNIA, et al.,
14	Defendants. <u>ORDER</u>
15	/
16	On March 28, 2006, plaintiff filed a request for reconsideration of the magistrate
17	judge's order filed March 6, 2006, denying plaintiff's motion for appointment of counsel,
18	requests for extension of time, requests for USM-285 forms, request for a speedy trial, and
19	application for a writ of possession. Pursuant to E.D. Local Rule 72-303(f), a magistrate judge's
20	orders shall be upheld unless "clearly erroneous or contrary to law." Upon review of the entire
21	file, the court finds that it does not appear that the magistrate judge's ruling was clearly
22	erroneous or contrary to law.
23	
24	
25	
26	

Case 2:05-cv-00727-LKK-DAD Document 35 Filed 04/20/06 Page 2 of 2

1	Therefore, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that, upon reconsideration, the order of the
2	magistrate judge filed March 6, 2006, is affirmed.
3	DATED: April 20, 2006.
4	
5	/s/Lawrence K. Karlton UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
6	/youn727.850
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	